

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FINAL UTILITY ORDERS
Selected for Publication
September 2003

September 11, 2003

AT&T Communications of the Pacific
Northwest, Inc.,

DOCKET NO. UT-020406

v.

TWELFTH SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER
CLARIFYING ELEVENTH
SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

Verizon Northwest Inc.

When the Commission adopts a rule that allows for recovery of certain costs, and indicates that it will follow a cost recovery methodology established by Commission order in another proceeding, the Commission is not bound to follow that methodology forever, particularly when the order deems the resulting rate an “interim” rate, and when the adopted rule itself does not specify a cost recovery methodology.

¶29-30; WAC 480-120-540(3).

September 12, 2003

DOCKET NO. UT-030614

ORDER NO. 15

In the Matter of the Petition of

Qwest Corporation

GRANTING REQUEST FOR ACCESS
TO HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION

For Competitive Classification of Basic
Business Exchange
Telecommunications Services

The Commission has discretion to fashion protective orders based on the nature of the adjudicatory proceeding before it. In fashioning protective orders the Commission may balance the need to protect highly sensitive commercial information with the need to recognize the statutory role granted Public Counsel in Commission proceedings and the benefits to the record from the parties' ability to scrutinize all relevant information. *¶ 13; RCW 80.04.095.*